1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
5 5 6 7	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7200 Fax: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: denise.barton@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for the United States of America
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13 14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No. 09-0798 MHP
15	Plaintiff,) <u>PROPOSED</u> ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM FEBRUARY 8, 2010 TO MARCH
16	v.) 22, 2010
17	JESUS AGUIRRE VALDES,
18	Defendant.)
19	On February 8, 2010, Defendant Jesus Aguirre Valdes, represented by attorney Shana
20	Keating, and the United States, represented by Assistant United States Attorney Matthew
21	McCarthy appeared before the Court for a Status Hearing. At the hearing, the United States
22	advised the Court that new counsel for the government had been assigned to the case upon the
23	departure of prior government counsel; that new government counsel was reviewing the file; and
24	that the parties were in discussions to resolve this matter.
25	For all these reasons, the parties requested a continuance until March 22, 2010 and
26	agreed that time was properly excluded between February 8, 2010 and March 22, 2010 under the
27	Speedy Trial Clock for continuity of counsel and to afford government and defense counsel
28	H.G. WALDES
	U.S. v. VALDES, PROPOSED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM FEBRUARY 8, 2010 TO MARCH 22, 2010, CR No. 09-0798 MHP

reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between February 8, 2010 and March 22, 2010 would unreasonably deny the government continuity of counsel and would deny all counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between February 8, 2010 and March 22, 2010 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between February 8, 2010 and March 22, 2010 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

DISTR

IT IS SO ORDERED

THRN DISTRICT

Judge Marilyn H. Patel

15 SO ORDERED:

17 | DATED: March <u>30</u>, 2010

U.S. v. VALDES

PROPOSED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM FEBRUARY 8, 2010 TO MARCH 22, 2010, CR No. 09-0798 MHP